

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

ERIK MILLS,

Plaintiff,

v.

ILLINOIS DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS, SALVDORE GODINEZ,
THOMAS SPILLER, AMBER
CARRINGTON, MICHAEL EDWARDS,
ORANGE CRUSH TEAM JOHN DOES and
SEGREGATION OFFICER JOHN DOES,

Defendants.

Case No. 14-cv-526-JPG-PMF

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

This matter comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation (“Report”) (Doc. 14) of Magistrate Judge Philip M. Frazier recommending that the Court deny plaintiff Erik Mills’ motion for injunctive relief (Doc. 2) and dismiss Count 1 without prejudice.

The Court may accept, reject or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations of the magistrate judge in a report and recommendation. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3). The Court must review *de novo* the portions of the report to which objections are made. *Id.* “If no objection or only partial objection is made, the district court judge reviews those unobjection portions for clear error.” *Johnson v. Zema Sys. Corp.*, 170 F.3d 734, 739 (7th Cir. 1999).

The Court has received no objection to the Report. The Court has reviewed the entire file and finds that the Report is not clearly erroneous. Accordingly, the Court hereby:

- **ADOPTS** the Report in its entirety (Doc. 14);
- **DENIES** Mills’ motion for injunctive relief (Doc. 2);

- **DISMISSES** Count 1 **without prejudice**; and
- **DIRECTS** the Clerk of Court to enter judgment accordingly at the close of the case.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: July 21, 2014

s/J. Phil Gilbert
J. PHIL GILBERT
DISTRICT JUDGE